

From: mwmlawoffices@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2011 1:43 PM
To: Slovick, David S
Cc: Gradman, Susan J.; Kiley 2
Subject: Re: CFTC v Cook et al - Answer of Pat Kiley, pro se

As you know, your suggestion that I file an appearance is illegal.

Do not suggest it again.

Your comments to me are irrelevant so keep them to yourself.

You address all your comments to the defendant, pro se.

He will file his answer electronically or by hand.

He simply asked me to forward you a copy of what he will file.

What I do in my private time to assist an innocent man whom you have falsely accused of wrong doing is my business.

You can not prevent that.

Attorney H. Nasif Mahmoud


Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: "Slovick, David S" <DSlovick@CFTC.gov>
Date: Tue, 10 May 2011 12:39:48 -0400
To: 'mwmlawoffices@aol.com' <mwmlawoffices@aol.com>
Cc: Gradman, Susan J. <sgradman@CFTC.GOV>
Subject: FW: CFTC v Cook et al - Answer of Pat Kiley, pro se

Mr. Mahmoud:

As you know, Mr. Kiley will be defaulted if he does not file an answer or other responsive pleading to the CFTC's complaint. His draft pleadings are irrelevant. Further, because Mr. Kiley has informed the court that you are representing him and drafting his pleadings, you are required to file an appearance on his behalf. If you do not we will bring your representation (and failure to file an appearance) to Judge Davis' attention at the next hearing.

David Slovick
Senior Trial Attorney
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Division of Enforcement
525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1100
Chicago IL 60661
312.596.0689
dslovick@cftc.gov



From: J [<mailto:mwmlawoffices@aol.com>]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 2:04 PM
To: Gradman, Susan J.; Hollinger, Rosemary
Subject: CFTC v Cook et al - Answer of Pat Kiley, pro se

Attorneys

Pat Kiley is ill. He asked me to forward this to you.

Attorney H. Nasif Mahmoud